

## IN THE CLAIMS

1. through 15. (Canceled)

16. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for measuring a complexity of nested object state transition diagrams that are represented as data structures in the computer, the method comprising ~~the steps of~~:

a) using a computer, determining a plurality of graphs of object state transitions at K levels  $l_k$ , wherein:

(A)  $0 < k < K$ ; ~~wherein~~

(B) one or more of said graphs at level  $l_{k+1}$  are expansions of one or more of said graphs at level  $l_k$ ; and

(C) said graphs comprise a plurality of nodes to represent a corresponding plurality of states of use-cases and a plurality of edges to represent a corresponding plurality of transitions between the states; and

b) using the computer, determining ~~the~~ a complexity for said plurality of graphs.

17. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method ~~as in~~ of claim 16, wherein:  
the state of an ~~ob~~ object at level  $k$  is a super state of an object state at level  $k+1$ , and  
~~it~~ the state of an object at level  $k$  is a sub state of an object state at level  $k-1$ , and  
the method further includes the step of identifying the state transition relationship between super state and sub state as in one of a group consisting of "in," "out," and "inout". ~~the three cases as "in" / "out" / "inout".~~

18. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method ~~as in~~ of claim 17, further comprising: wherein said determining the number of paths comprises the steps of

selecting said level  $l_k = l_K$ ;

expanding a graph  $L$  from said selected level  $l_k$  with ~~said~~ at least one graph from said level  $l_{k+1}$ ;

determining ~~the~~ paths in said expanded graph; and

determining ~~the~~ a number of conditions in said transition paths.

19. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method ~~as in~~ of claim 18 further comprising: ~~the step of~~

removing ~~one or more~~ an unnecessary path [s] from said at least one graph.

20. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method ~~as in~~ of claim 19 wherein said one or more unnecessary paths comprise one or more numbers of ~~the~~ a group consisting of:

(exception → fallout),

(exception → exception),

(one-repetition loop → fallout),

(one repetition loop → cancelled), and

(one repetition loop → exception).

21. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method ~~as in~~ of claim 16 to measure a nested object state transition complexity between two super-states by recursively applying Equation 6:

$$STPC_{k,p,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_{k,i}} (C_{k,i,j} + (STPC_{k+1,i,j} - sub_{k,i,j})) + mul_{k,i}(N) \right) \quad \text{Equation 6}$$

wherein:

$m_k$  = ~~the~~ a number of transition paths of level-k object ( $k > 1$ ) between two super-states or ~~the~~ a number of transition paths of level-1 object;

$n_{k,i}$  = ~~the~~ a number of states along path i for level-k object; [.]

$C_{k,i,j}$  is ~~the~~ a number of conditions to bring level-k object state from  $Sk,i,j-1$  to  $Sk,i,j$  ;

$STPC_{k+1,i,j}$  = Substate Transition Complexity between state  $Sk,i,j-1$  and  $Sk,i,j$ ;

$sub_{k,i,j}=0$  if  $STPC_{k+1,i,j}=0$  and  $sub_{k,i,j}=1$  if ( $STPC_{k+1,i,j} \neq 0$ ) ;

If ~~the~~ if a multiplicity between level-k ~~object~~ object and level- $(k+1)$  is 1:N, then  $mul_{k,i}(N)=0$  [.] if ( $N=1$ ) and  $mul_{k,i}(N)=r$  [.] if ( $N > 1$ ); and

~~Where~~ r is ~~the~~ a number of times that level- $k+1$  objects transit [s] back to level- $k$  in path i.

22. (Canceled)